

Trips: Trips - 19

HS L 179:70



Dag Hammarskjöld's saml.

D. H. trips 1956 April 19

Sec. Gen. - Present state of negotiations with Israel.

Mr Reedman

19/4/56

CR

Carlen -

Seifer -

Present state of negotiations
with Israeli is as follows:
At first meeting ^{with Dan Gurney Stewart} immediately in
my arrival, I gave a general
account and interpretation of my
mission, stressing very frankly
obligations to comply with G.A.
and to co-operate with UNTSO.
I also stressed grave risks involved
in present Israeli policy in regard
to Armistice agreements. Subsequent
meetings dealing directly with
substantive aspects of state of
compliance and steps to achieve
and protect compliance. You
know from text of exchanges
cabled to you that notification
of standing orders covering

compliance with article 2. 2
~~has~~ has been given to ~~with~~
reciprocal Egyptian assurance
~~obligations~~ to I regard obligation
to comply fully with this clause
as very firmly established. Publication
of ~~these~~ fact of receipt of these
assurances only awaits reply
from Farugi regarding text of
suggested statement which I
submitted to him.

With this first vital point
position achieved negotiations
have passed to steps for ~~ensuring~~
ensuring implementation. Issues
raised under questions as anticipated
of shipping rights & Suez Canal
and questions covered in article
I. I stated firmly ^{that} my position
regarding ^{under} questions ~~covered~~
with spirit of amity and
not specifically within the

cermistic and therefore subside
the terms of my present mandate
under Security Council resolution
~~was~~ that my position was that I
could discuss them ~~to the extent~~ ^{listen}
that ~~with any~~ party which wished
to raise them but could not ~~make~~ ^{bring}
them within scope of present
~~negotiations~~ without mutual
consent of parties in my position capacity
as S.G. As regards questions
alluded to in article I I do
not regard ~~them~~ as present state
of non-compliance or non-compliance
with these as grounds for refusing
to comply with substantive clauses,
~~especially 2.2 and VII & VIII~~
I should take this stand in
reporting on my mission. I also
made it clear that in my view
a refusal to take effective measures
under 2.2 ~~especially~~ ^{to} would,
in the context of ~~over~~ recent events,

including the Gay a incidents would
~~clearly indicate~~ appear as a very
heavy responsibility. ~~to the members~~
~~in a~~ ? then took up the
question of freedom of observers
in the general context of article
II 2 and I hope that some
results will emerge though this
matter is still under discussion.
Further discussion takes place
today on this ~~UNESCO~~ observers
and then on implementation of
VII & VIII. Discussion will
continue to-morrow ^{with Deputies} when
Syria and Jordan will also
be taken up.

D R A F T

TO : CORDIER
FROM : SECRETARY-GENERAL
Date : 19 April 1956
Number :

In confidential background:

Present state of negotiations with Israelis is as

follows:

At first meeting with Mr. Ben-Gurion and Mr. Sharett
immediately on my arrival, I gave a general account and ~~inter-~~
personal comment
~~pretation~~ of my mission, stressing *with complete openness* ~~very frankly~~ obligations to
Very inter-
pretation
of its inter-
national
siding and
implica-
tions
comply with the GAA and to cooperate with UNTSO. I also stressed
grave risks involved in present Israeli policy in regard to
~~Armistice Agreements.~~

Subsequent meetings dealing directly with substantive
aspects of state of compliance and steps to achieve and protect
compliance. You know from text of exchanges cabled to you that
notification of standing orders covering compliance with
Article II, 2 has been given with reciprocal Egyptian assurance.

....//....

I regard obligation to comply fully with this clause as very

firmly established. ~~Publication of fact of receipt of these~~

assurances only awaits reply from Fawzi regarding text of

~~suggested statement which I submitted to him.~~

*in fact
looked by
triangle
agreement.*

With this first vital position achieved, negotiations

have passed to steps for ensuring implementation. Israelis

raised wider questions as anticipated of shipping rights in Suez

Canal and questions covered in Article I. I stated firmly that

my position regarding wider questions not specifically within

agreement clauses were
the armistice ~~and therefore~~ outside the terms of my present

mandate under Security Council resolution; that my position was

that I could ~~discuss them~~ listen to any Party which wished to

raise them, in my capacity as Sec. General. ~~As regards~~ questions

dealt with in Article I, I ~~do~~ ^{did} not regard present state of compliance

or non-compliance with these as grounds for refusing to comply

with substantive clauses. I should take this stand in reporting

*I and could
further try
to help in-
fluently in
the same
capacity.*

*Finally I
said/definite not to
any attempt to make
implementation of any
substantive clause of
dependent on Suez issue.*

In general, regarding

....//....

on my mission. I also made it clear that, in my view, a refusal
to take effective measures under Art. II, 2/^{and in support of its implementation}would, in the
context of recent events including the Gaza incidents, ~~would~~
appear as a very heavy responsibility.

I then took up the question of freedom of observers
in the general context of Article II, 2, and I hope that some
results will emerge, though this matter is still under discussion.

Further discussion takes place today on Observers and
then on implementation of Articles VII and VIII. Discussion will
continue tomorrow with Israelis, when Syrian and Jordan will also
be taken up.